

Top 13 Priorities Generated by Participants of the AAMI - FDA Infusion Device Summit

**October 5 and 6, 2010
Silver Spring, MD**

Posted to AAMI Web Site October 13, 2010

1. No process for collaborative failure analysis.
 - a. Lack of safe space for infusion incident related disclosure (access to information about problems). [Consider Patient Safety Organizations (PSO)].
2. Incompatibility across devices and with systems (e.g. consistent bar coding, wireless, power supplies, HIT systems) [Consider unavailability of wireless in a natural disaster] [IHE and HIMSS are working on this]. Lack of cleared products for sale that support data transfer.
3. A high percentage of sentinel / ADE are due to use errors. Address issues related to high percentage of sentinel events due to use errors. Figure out how to develop design safety features that make it easy for the user to do the right thing. Considerations: e.g. applicable human factors, automatic identification (e.g. bar coding), value of all the steps involved.
4. Standardization of terminology used in the infusion related systems (upstream and downstream) devices; same wording, same spelling, etc. across the process and devices, containers, etc.
5. Lack of knowledge/familiarity with the device; lack of effective training -- from both manufacturer & facility.
6. Alarm management is not effective.
 - a. High number of false alarms. Can also lead to true alarms being ignored (e.g. air).
 - b. Alarms difficult to prioritize.
 - c. Unclear how to resolve.
7. Injuries caused a differentiation in terms of hospital use versus use in other environments (e.g. home use)—design and user issues and differences among home, hospital and other environments. Products designed for the hospital environment are being used in home environments (and vice versa).
8. Inability to determine root cause of incidents due to difficulty accessing and analyzing incident data. Also applies to CQI.
9. Poor system (incomplete, inadequate) for reporting aggregate state/national adverse event data (e.g. MAUDE, PSO).
 - a. Lack of standardization that supports data aggregation.

10. The numbers are not conveying the bigger picture in terms of volume of incidents involving pumps. “Close calls” and “near misses” and their root causes are not required to be reported.
11. Uploading/managing/maintaining drug libraries can be difficult.
 - a. Lack of coordination between pump requirements and hospital capabilities.
 - b. Steep learning curve on configuration / management.
 - c. Difficulty in managing the same drug used in multiple units in multiple ways.
12. Lack of Formulary and standards—standardization of concentrations and transparency (e.g. sharing between facilities) of drug libraries.
13. Difficulty in line management (incl. containers, manifolds, catheters, transport) —dealing with the complexity of multiple infusions, including secondaries, disposables, etc.

NOTE: The top 13 priorities (above) were reviewed by the AAMI Infusion Device Committee at its meeting on 7 October 2010. This list reflects their input on clarification of some of the items.

Also, the top 13 priorities, as listed above, are not necessarily arranged in order of importance.